

Orbifold Zhu theory associated to intertwining operators

Hiroshi Yamauchi

*Graduate School of Mathematics,
University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan
e-mail: hirocci@math.tsukuba.ac.jp*

Abstract

We study intertwining operators among the twisted modules for rational VOAs and show a new modular invariance of the space spanned by the trace functions associated to intertwining operators. Our result generalizes the results obtained by Zhu [Z], Dong-Li-Mason [DLM2] and Miyamoto [M3].

1 Introduction

One of the main features of rational vertex operator algebras (VOAs for short) is the modular invariance. For a rational VOA V , Zhu [Z] proved that the linear space spanned by the trace functions $\mathrm{tr}_{W^i} o(a) q^{L(0)-c/24}$ defined on irreducible V -modules W^i is invariant under the usual action of the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where $o(a)$ denotes the grade-keeping operator (the zero-mode) of $a \in V$. After his work, his result has been generalized in many directions. Dong, Li and Mason generalized the theory above to the orbifold theory in [DLM2]. Miyamoto generalized the theory to involve intertwining operators in [M3]. In this paper, we give a new extension of the Zhu theory which generalizes both the orbifold case [DLM2] and the intertwining operator case [M3].

Let V be a VOA and G a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$. A V -module M is said to be G -stable if there exists a group representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(M)$ (called the stabilizing automorphism) such that $\pi(k)Y_M(a, z)v = Y_M(ka, z)\pi(k)v$ for all $a \in V$, $v \in M$ and $k \in G$. Let g, h be automorphisms on V generating a finite abelian subgroup A of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$. Let (U, ϕ) be an A -stable untwisted V -module and (W_g, ψ) an h -stable g -twisted V -module, where ϕ and ψ are stabilizing automorphisms on U and W_g , respectively. We introduce a notion of the $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator of type $U \times W_g \rightarrow W_g$ (see Definition 2.2). Let $I(\cdot, z)$

be such an intertwining operator. Then one can consider the trace function associated to $I(\cdot, z)$ defined as follows:

$$S^I(u, \tau) = \text{tr}_{W_g} z^{\text{wt}u} I(u, z) \psi(h) q^{L(0)-c/24}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u \in U$ and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. In [DLM2], Dong, Li and Mason studied the trace functions above in the case $U = V$, whereas Miyamoto considered them in the case where U is a general V -module and $A = 1$ in [M3]. In each case, the space spanned by the trace functions has a modular invariance property under certain conditions. Therefore, it is a natural expectation that the linear space spanned by the trace functions (1.1) has a modular invariance property for general U and A . The purpose of this paper is to prove that this is true.

This paper contains two main theorems. The first main result is a theorem describing fusion rules among untwisted and twisted modules in terms of the twisted Frenkel-Zhu's bimodules (Theorem 3.3). To prove the modular invariance in our case, we need a preliminary result on the fusion rules. So we will extend the theorem describing fusion rules among untwisted modules which is due to Frenkel-Zhu [FZ] and Li [Li2] to the twisted case. Using the extended Frenkel-Zhu-Li's theorem, we will prove that the space spanned by the trace functions (1.1) is invariant under the action of the modular group, which is the second main theorem.

Let us explain our theorems more precisely. Let V be a VOA and g an automorphism on V of finite order. Let U be a g -stable V -module. We will construct an $A_g(V)$ -bimodule $A_g(U)$ as a quotient space of U (see [DLM1] for $A_g(V)$). Using our bimodule $A_g(U)$, we can determine the fusion rules (Theorem 3.3).

Theorem 1. *Assume that V is g -rational. Let (U, ϕ) be a g -stable V -module and W_g^1, W_g^2 irreducible g -twisted V -modules. Then there is a linear isomorphism between the linear space of the $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators of type $U \times W_g^1 \rightarrow W_g^2$ and $\text{Hom}_{A_g(V)}(A_g(U) \otimes_{A_g(V)} W_g^1(0), W_g^2(0))$, where $W_g^i(0)$ denotes the top levels of W_g^i for $i = 1, 2$.*

Needless to say that, if we consider trivial automorphism, then our construction reduces to Frenkel-Zhu and Li's original one (cf. [FZ] [Li2]).

Using the theorem above, we can show a new modular invariance. Let g, h be automorphisms on V generating a finite abelian subgroup A of $\text{Aut}(V)$. Let U be an A -stable V -module with a stabilizing automorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$ and let W_g be an h -stable g -twisted V -module with a stabilizing automorphism $\psi(h)$. Let $I(\cdot, z)$ be a $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator of type $U \times W_g \rightarrow W_g$. We say that $I(\cdot, z)$ is h -stable if $\psi(h)I(u, z)\psi(h)^{-1} = I(\phi(h)u, z)$ holds for all $u \in U$. Assume that $I(\cdot, z)$ is h -stable.

Then we consider the following trace function:

$$S^I(u, \tau) := \text{tr}_{W_g} z^{\text{wt}u} I(u, z) \psi(h)^{-1} q^{L(0)-c/24}. \quad (1.2)$$

Our main theorem is the following (Theorem 5.1).

Theorem 2. *Assume that V is g -rational. Let (U, ϕ) be an A -stable V -module. Assume that U is $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite (see Definition 4.2). Then the trace functions $S^I(u, \tau)$ defined by (1.2) converge to holomorphic functions on the upper half plane. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ the linear space spanned by the trace functions $S^I(\cdot, \tau)$ associated to h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators $I(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g \rightarrow W_g$, where W_g runs over h -stable g -twisted V -modules. For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ f & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with entries satisfying $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{lcm}(|g|, |h|)}$, $b \equiv 0 \pmod{|g|}$ and $f \equiv 0 \pmod{|h|}$, define its action on $S^I(\cdot, \tau)$ as follows:*

$$S^I|_{\gamma}(u, \tau) := (f\tau + d)^{-k} S^I(u, \gamma\tau),$$

where $u \in U_{[k]} = \{u \in U \mid L[0]u = ku\}$. Then γ defines a linear isomorphism on $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$.

The argument used in the proof of Theorem 2 is almost the same as those in [Z], [DLM2] and [M3]. But it is worth pointing out the following remarkable difference. In [Z], [DLM2] and [M3], traces are taken over each irreducible modules. But in our case we have to handle their conjugate under the automorphism at the same time so that traces are taken over not only irreducible modules. This is the most difficult point of this paper.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic definitions of the twisted theory of VOAs that are needed in later sections. The notion of the $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators are also given. In Section 3, after introducing the twisted Frenkel-Zhu's bimodules, we extend Frenkel-Zhu and Li's theorem on fusion rules to the twisted case. In Section 4 we show the convergence of the trace functions defined as (1.1). In Section 5 we prove the modular invariance of the space spanned by the trace functions.

2 Basic definition

The most notation are adopted from [DLM2] and [M3]. Let V be a VOA and g an automorphism of V of finite order $|g|$. In this paper, we treat only g -rational VOAs.

Definition 2.1. A VOA V is called g -rational if all admissible g -twisted V -modules are completely reducible.

Let (W_g, Y_g) be a g -twisted V -module. Take any $h \in \text{Aut}(V)$. Then one can find a natural $g^h = h^{-1}gh$ -twisted V -module structure on a vector space W_g . Define g^h -twisted vertex operator $(Y_g)^h$ on W_g by

$$(Y_g)^h(a, z) := Y_g(ha, z). \quad (2.1)$$

Then $(W_g, (Y_g)^h)$ has a g^h -twisted V -module structure (cf. [DLM2]). We denote it by $W_g \circ h$. In this paper, we consider the case where g and h generate a finite abelian subgroup in $\text{Aut}(V)$. A g -twisted V -module W_g is called h -stable if $W_g \circ h$ is isomorphic to W_g . That is, there exists a linear isomorphism $\psi(h)$ on W_g such that

$$Y_g(ha, z) = \psi(h)Y_g(a, z)\psi(h)^{-1}.$$

We call $\psi(h)$ a *stabilizing automorphism*. Note that the stabilizing automorphism $\psi(h)$ is not uniquely determined since non-zero scalar multiplications are allowed.

Let U be a g -stable untwisted V -module admitting a weight space decomposition $U = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n+h_0}$ and let W_g^1, W_g^2 be irreducible g -twisted V -modules admitting weight space decompositions $W_g^i = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{|g|}\mathbb{N}} (W_g^i)_{n+h_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$, respectively, where we set $X_s := \{v \in X \mid L(0)v = sv\}$ for a V -module X . One can find the general definition of intertwining operators among twisted modules in [DLM3] or [X], but we adopt a modified definition as shown below. Recall the definition of vertex operators on g -twisted V -modules. Under the action of g , V decomposes as follows:

$$V = V^0 \oplus V^1 \oplus \dots \oplus V^{|g|-1},$$

where $V^r := \{a \in V \mid ga = e^{2\pi ir/|g|}a\}$. Let M_g be an irreducible admissible g -twisted V -module. By Theorem 8.1 (c) of [DLM1], one has a weight space decomposition $M_g = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{|g|}\mathbb{N}} (M_g)_{k+n}$, where k is a suitable rational number. Setting $M_g^j := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (M_g)_{k+n-j/|g|}$ for $0 \leq j \leq |g| - 1$, we find that the vertex operators on M_g provide the following fusion rules among V^0 -modules V^i and M_g^j :

$$V^i \times M_g^j = M_g^{i+j}, \quad (2.2)$$

where by abuse of symbols we denote both an integer between 0 and $|g| - 1$ and its residue class mod $|g|$ by the same letter. To introduce fusion rules similar to (2.2), we assume that U has the following decomposition

$$U = U^0 \oplus U^1 \oplus \dots \oplus U^{|g|-1} \quad (2.3)$$

as a V^0 -module and vertex operators on U provide the following fusion rules for V^0 -modules:

$$V^i \times U^j = U^{i+j}. \quad (2.4)$$

Based on the decomposition and fusion rules above, we define an intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g^1 \rightarrow W_g^2$ as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let $U = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n+h_0}$ be a g -stable V -module which has a decomposition (2.3) with fusion rules (2.4) and let $W_g^i = \oplus_{n \in \frac{1}{|g|}\mathbb{N}} (W_g^i)_{n+h_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, be irreducible g -twisted V -modules. An intertwining operator of type $U \times W_g^1 \rightarrow W_g^2$ is a linear map

$$I(\cdot, z) : u \in U \mapsto I(u, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{C}} u_n z^{-n-1} \in \text{Hom}(W_g^1, W_g^2) \{z\}$$

with the following properties;

1° for $u \in U^r$,

$$I(u, z) \in \text{Hom}(W_g^1, W_g^2) [[z, z^{-1}]] z^{-\frac{r}{|g|}-h},$$

where $h = h_0 + h_1 - h_2$;

2° for any $l \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_{l+n} w^1 = 0$ for all $n \geq N$;

3° $L(-1)$ -derivation : $I(L(-1)u, z) = \frac{d}{dz} I(u, z)$;

4° for $a \in V^r$ and $u \in U$, the following twisted Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_{W_g^2}(a, z_1) I(u, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_0} \right) I(u, z_2) Y_{W_g^1}(a, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-\frac{r}{|g|}} I(Y_U(a, z_0)u, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that our assumptions (2.3) and (2.4) are equivalent to the existence of a g -stabilizing automorphism $\phi(g)$ on U such that the decomposition (2.3) coincides with the eigenspace decomposition $U^r = \{u \in U | \phi(g)u = e^{2\pi i r/|g|} u\}$. Because our definition of intertwining operators is depend on the choice of the decomposition of U , which owe to the choice of $\phi(g)$, we call intertwining operators of type $U \times W_g^1 \rightarrow W_g^2$ defined as above “ $\phi(g)$ -twisted” to emphasize the choice of the stabilizing automorphism $\phi(g)$.

The following two properties are direct consequences of the twisted Jacobi identity;

(1) Commutativity: for sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(z_1 - z_2)^N \{Y_{W_g^2}(a, z_1) I(u, z_2) - I(u, z_2) Y_{W_g^1}(a, z_1)\} = 0.$$

(2) Twisted Associativity: for $a \in V^r$ and $w^1 \in W_g^1$, let k be a positive integer such that $z^{k+\frac{r}{|g|}} Y_{W_g^1}(a, z) w^1 \in W_g^1[[z]]$. Then

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{|g|}} Y_{W_g^2}(a, z_0 + z_2) I(u, z_2) w^1 = (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{|g|}} I(Y_U(a, z_0)u, z_2) w^1.$$

Conversely, we can prove the twisted Jacobi identity from these two conditions (see [Li1]).

3 A generalization of Frenkel-Zhu-Li's theorem

In this section we construct an $A_g(V)$ -bimodule $A_g(U)$ from a g -stable V -module U and show a theorem describing the fusion rules of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_g^2 \\ U \quad W_g^1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in terms of our bimodule $A_g(U)$. These results are basically generalizations of the untwisted case.

3.1 Associative algebra $A_g(V)$ and its bimodule $A_g(U)$

Let g be an automorphism of V of finite order $|g|$ and U a g -stable V -module with a stabilizing automorphism $\phi(g)$. Recall the associative algebra $A_g(V)$ introduced in [DLM1], which is a quotient space of V factored by a subspace $O_g(V) = \langle a \circ_g b \mid a, b \in V \rangle$. Let $a \in V^r = \{v \in V \mid gv = e^{2\pi ir/|g|}v\}$. We define a left action of a on U as follows:

$$a \circ_g u := \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a + \frac{r}{|g|} - 1 + \delta_{r,0}}}{z^{1+\delta_{r,0}}} Y(a, z)u. \quad (3.1)$$

Using this product we define

$$O_g(U) := \langle a \circ_g u \mid a \in V, u \in U \rangle$$

and set

$$A_g(U) := U^0 / (O_g(U) \cap U^0),$$

where $U^r := \{u \in U \mid \phi(g)u = e^{2\pi ir/|g|}u\}$. We define a left action and a right action of $A_g(V)$ on this space. By definition, $O_g(V) \supset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{|g|-1} V^r$ so that $A_g(V)$ is isomorphic to a quotient space of V^0 . Hence we only need to consider the actions of $a \in V^0$ on $A_g(U)$. For $a \in V^0$ we define left and right actions on U as follows:

$$a *_g u := \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a}}{z} Y(a, z)u, \quad (3.2)$$

$$u *_g a := \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a-1}}{z} Y(a, z)u. \quad (3.3)$$

When g is trivial, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1. ([FZ]) $A_1(U)$ is an $A_1(V)$ -bimodule with respect to the actions $*_1$.

As an extension of untwisted case we will show

Theorem 3.2. $A_g(U)$ is an $A_g(V)$ -bimodule with respect to the actions $*_g$.

Proof: We divide the proof into several lemmas. The following lemma will be used frequently.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a \in V^r$ be homogeneous and let $m \geq n \geq 0$. Then*

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wta}-1+\delta_{r,0}+\frac{r}{|g|}+n}}{z^{m+1+\delta_{r,0}}} Y(a, z)u \in O_g(U).$$

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.1.2. of [Z]. ■

We have to show that $O_g(U)$ is closed under the actions of V^0 , the subspace $O_g(V)$ acts trivially on $U^0/O_g(U) \cap U^0$ and the associativity of the actions on $A_g(U)$. By definition, we have $O_g(U) \cap U^0 = O(U^0) + \sum_{r \neq 0} V^r \circ_g U^{|g|-r}$, where $O(U^0)$ denotes the kernel of the (untwisted) Frenkel-Zhu bimodule $A(U^0)$ associated to an untwisted V^0 -module U^0 . By Theorem 3.1 we have $V^0 *_g O(U^0) \subset O(U^0)$ and $O(U^0) *_g V^0 \subset O(U^0)$. Furthermore, for $a, b \in V^0$, we also have the following by Theorem 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} a *_g O(U^0) &\subset O(U^0), \\ O(U^0) *_g a &\subset O(U^0), \\ a *_g (b *_g U^0) - (a *_g b) *_g U^0 &\subset O(U^0), \\ (U^0 *_g a) *_g b - U^0 *_g (a *_g b) &\subset O(U^0), \\ (a *_g U^0) *_g b - a *_g (U^0 *_g b) &\subset O(U^0). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $I = \sum_{r \neq 0} V^r \circ_g U^{|g|-r}$. We should show that I is closed under the actions of V^0 .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $r \neq 0$, $a \in V^r$, $b \in V^0$ and $u \in U^{|g|-r}$. Then both $b *_g (a \circ_g u)$ and $(a \circ_g u) *_g b$ are contained in $O_g(U) \cap U^0$.*

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.3 of [DLM1]. ■

Next we will show an associative algebra $A_g(V)$ acts on $A_g(U)$. In other words, we will show that

$$(O_g(V) \cap V^0) *_g U^0 \subset O(U^0) + I \quad \text{and} \quad U^0 *_g (O_g(V^0) \cap V^0) \subset O(U^0) + I.$$

By definition, we have $O_g(V) \cap V^0 = O(V^0) + \sum_{r \neq 0} V^r \circ_g V^{|g|-r}$ and by Theorem 3.1 we have $O(V^0) *_g U^0 \subset O(U^0)$ and $U^0 *_g O(V^0) \subset O(U^0)$. So it remains to show that $(V^r \circ_g V^{|g|-r}) *_g U^0 \subset O(U^0) + I$ and $U^0 *_g (V^r \circ_g V^{|g|-r}) \subset O(U^0) + I$ for $r \neq 0$. Let $a \in V^r$, $r \neq 0$, $b \in V^{|g|-r}$ and $u \in U^0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a \circ_g b) *_g u &= \text{Res}_w \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wta}-1+\frac{r}{|g|}}{i} \frac{(1+w)^{\text{wta}+\text{wtb}-i}}{w} Y(a_{i-1}b, w)u \\ &= \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wta}-1+\frac{r}{|g|}}{i} \frac{(1+w)^{\text{wta}+\text{wtb}-i}}{w} \\ &\quad \cdot \{(z-w)^{i-1}Y(a, z)Y(b, w)u - (-w+z)^{i-1}Y(b, w)Y(a, z)u\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wta}-1+\frac{r}{|g|}} (1+w)^{\text{wtb}+1-\frac{r}{|g|}}}{w(z-w)} Y(a, z) Y(b, w) u \\
&\quad - \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wta}-1+\frac{r}{|g|}} (1+w)^{\text{wtb}+1-\frac{r}{|g|}}}{w(-w+z)} Y(b, w) Y(a, z) u \\
&= \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wta}-1+\frac{r}{|g|}}}{z^{1+i}} \cdot w^{i-1} (1+w)^{\text{wtb}+1-\frac{r}{|g|}} Y(a, z) Y(b, w) u \\
&\quad - \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1) z^i (1+z)^{\text{wta}-1+\frac{r}{|g|}} \cdot \frac{(1+w)^{\text{wtb}-1+\frac{|g|-r}{|g|}+1}}{w^{2+i}} Y(b, w) Y(a, z) u \\
&\in O(U^0) + I.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $u *_g (a \circ_g b) \in O(U^0) + I$. ■

3.2 Fusion rules

Let V be a rational VOA and let W^i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, be irreducible V -modules. The following formula is shown in [FZ] and [Li2]:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \begin{pmatrix} W^3 \\ W^1 \ W^2 \end{pmatrix} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{A(V)} (A(W_1) \otimes_{A(V)} W_2(0), W_3(0)).$$

In this subsection we extend this formula to the twisted modules. Let U be a g -stable V -module with a stabilizing automorphism $\phi(g)$ and let W_g^1, W_g^2 be irreducible g -twisted V -modules. Assume that these modules admit weight space decompositions $U = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n+h_0}$ and $W^i = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{|g|}\mathbb{N}} (W_g^i)_{n+h_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, with some $h_j \in \mathbb{Q}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, respectively. Set $U(n) := U_{n+h_0}$ and $W_g^i(n) := (W_g^i)_{n+h_i}$. We may assume that the top levels $U(0)$, $W_g^i(0)$ of U , W_g^i , respectively, are non-trivial. We define $\deg u := \text{wt}u - h_0$ and $\deg w^i := \text{wt}w^i - h_i$ for $u \in U$ and $w^i \in W_g^i$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively.

By definition, a $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g^1 \rightarrow W_g^2$ has the form

$$I(u, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{r}{|g|}} u_{(n)} z^{-n-1-h}$$

for $u \in U^r$, where $h = h_0 + h_1 - h_2$, and each coefficient $u_{(n)}$ maps a homogeneous subspace $W_g^1(m)$ of W_g^1 into a homogeneous subspace $W_g^2(m + \deg u - n - 1)$ of W_g^2 . For homogeneous $u \in U^0$, we denote its weight-keeping operator (the zero mode) by $o^I(u) := u_{(\deg u - 1)}$. We also set $o^I(u) = 0$ for $u \in U^r$, $r \neq 0$ and extend it linearly on U . Similar to the untwisted case we have

Proposition 3.1. $o^I \in \text{Hom}_{A_g(V)} (A_g(U) \otimes_{A_g(V)} W_g^1(0), W_g^2(0)).$

Proof: Clearly o^I is a linear map from $U^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_g^1(0)$ to $W_g^2(0)$. So we only need to show the following: for $a \in V^0$, $u \in U^0$ and $w^1 \in W_g^1(0)$,

$$o^I(O_g(U))w^1 = 0; \quad (3.4)$$

$$o^I(u * a)w^1 = o^I(u)o(a)w^1; \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{and } o^I(a * u)w^1 = o(a)o^I(u)w^1. \quad (3.6)$$

First we consider (3.4). By definition, $O_g(U) = \sum_{r=0}^{|g|-1} V^r \circ_g U^{|g|-r}$, where $V^0 \circ_g U^0 = V^0 \circ U^0$ (note that $\circ$ is the untwisted product) and we already know that $o^I(V^0 \circ_g U^0) = 0$ in the untwisted theory (cf. [FZ]). So it remains to show $o^I\left(\sum_{r \neq 0} V^r \circ_g U^{|g|-r}\right) = 0$. Since this proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.3 of [DLM1], we omit it.

Also (3.5) and (3.6) are known for untwisted intertwining operators and the proof is similar to the untwisted case. For details, see [FZ]. ■

Certain relations between $\text{Hom}_{A_g(V)}(A_g(U) \otimes_{A_g(V)} W_g^1(0), W_g^2(0))$ and the space of intertwining operators are known.

Proposition 3.2. (*Proposition 2.10 of [Li2]*) Assume that W_g^i ($i = 1, 2$) are irreducible. Then the linear map

$$\pi : I(\cdot, z) \in \begin{pmatrix} W_g^2 \\ U W_g^1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto o^I \in \text{Hom}_{A_g(V)}(A_g(U) \otimes_{A_g(V)} W_g^1(0), W_g^2(0))$$

is injective.

When V is rational and $g = 1$, the linear map π defines an isomorphism (Theorem 2.11 of [Li2]). The following theorem is a generalization of Frenkel-Zhu-Li's theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let V be a g -rational VOA and $(U, \phi(g))$ a g -stable V -module. Let W_g^i , $i = 1, 2$, be irreducible g -twisted V -modules. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_g^2 \\ U W_g^1 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \text{Hom}_{A_g(V)}(A_g(U) \otimes_{A_g(V)} W_g^1(0), W_g^2(0)).$$

Proof: The argument is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.11 of [Li2]. ■

Remark 3.1. In the theorem above, we don't have to assume the irreducibility of U .

4 The space of trace functions

4.1 The space of 1-point functions on the torus

In the following context, the following notation will be in force. They are taken from [DLM2].

- (a) V is a vertex operator algebra.
- (b) g, h are automorphisms on V generating a finite abelian subgroup $A = \langle g, h \rangle$ of $\text{Aut}(V)$.
- (c) g has order $|g|$, h has order $|h|$ and A has exponent $\text{lcm}(|g|, |h|)$.
- (d) $\Gamma(g, h)$ is the subgroup of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $b \equiv 0 \pmod{|g|}$, $c \equiv 0 \pmod{|h|}$ and $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{lcm}(|g|, |h|)}$.
- (e) $\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$; the upper half plane.
- (f) $M(g, h)$ is the ring of holomorphic modular forms on $\Gamma(g, h)$.
- (g) $U = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n+h}$ is an A -stable untwisted V -module¹ with a stabilizing automorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$ such that

$$Y_U(ka, z) = \phi(k)Y_U(a, z)\phi(k)^{-1}$$

for all $k \in A$.

- (h) $U(g, h) = M(g, h) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U$.

- (i) $E_k(\tau)$, $P_k(\mu, \lambda, \tau)$, $Q_k(\mu, \lambda, \tau)$ are defined as follows:

$$E_k(\tau) = \frac{-B_k(0)}{k!} + \frac{2}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n, \quad k \geq 2,$$

$$P_k(\mu, \lambda, z, q_\tau) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum'_{n \in \frac{j}{M} + \mathbb{Z}} \frac{n^{k-1} q_z^n}{1 - \lambda q_\tau^n}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

$$Q_k(\mu, \lambda, q_\tau) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda(n + j/M)^{k-1} q_\tau^{n+j/M}}{1 - \lambda q_\tau^{n+j/M}} + \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{-1}(n - j/M)^{k-1} q_\tau^{n-j/M}}{1 - \lambda^{-1} q_\tau^{n-j/M}} - \frac{B_k(j/M)}{k!}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

and we also set $Q_0(\mu, \lambda, \tau) = -1$, where q_x denotes $e^{2\pi i x}$, $\mu = e^{2\pi i j/M}$, $\lambda = e^{2\pi i l/N}$ for integers j, l, M, N with $M, N > 0$, the sign $\sum'$ means omit the term $n = 0$ if $(\mu, \lambda) = (1, 1)$ and $B_k(x)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials defined by the generating function

$$\frac{te^{tx}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$

¹We need not assume the irreducibility of U . See also Remark 3.1.

For more informations, please refer to [DLM2].

We make it a rule to take

$$\mu^r = |\mu|^r e^{r(\arg \mu)i}, \quad \text{where } \mu = |\mu| e^{(\arg \mu)i}, \quad -\pi < \arg \mu \leq \pi,$$

for any $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

For a VOA $(V, Y(\cdot, z), \mathbb{1}, \omega)$, one can define another VOA structure on it.

Definition 4.1. (Theorem 4.2.1 of Zhu [Z]) Let $(V, Y(\cdot, z), \mathbb{1}, \omega)$ be a VOA. For each homogeneous vector $a \in V$, the vertex operator

$$Y[a, z] := Y(a, e^z - 1)e^{z \text{wt}(a)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{[n]} z^{-n-1} \in \text{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

gives a new VOA structure on V with the same vacuum vector $\mathbb{1}$ and a new Virasoro vector $\tilde{\omega} := \omega - \frac{c}{24} \mathbb{1}$.

We denote the Virasoro operators of a new Virasoro vector $\tilde{\omega}$ by $Y[\tilde{\omega}, z] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L[n] z^{-n-2}$. Since $L[0]$ acts on V semisimply, we can decompose V into a direct sum of eigenspaces for $L[0]$. Denote a new weight space decomposition of V by $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{[n]}$, where $V_{[n]} := \{v \in V \mid L[0]v = nv\}$. A V -module U also admits a $L[0]$ -weight space decomposition. Denote by $U_{[k]}$ the $L[0]$ -weight subspace of U with weight k . For a vector $u \in U_{[k]}$, we define its new weight by $\text{wt}[u] := k$.

Let $O(g, h)$ be an $M(g, h)$ -submodule of $U(g, h)$ generated by the following elements, where $a \in V$ satisfies $ga = \mu a$, $ha = \lambda a$ and $u \in U$ satisfies $\phi(g)u = \mu' u$, $\phi(h)u = \lambda' u$ for some $\mu, \mu', \lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{C}$;

$$a_{[0]}u, \quad u \in U, \quad (\mu, \lambda) = (1, 1), \tag{4.1}$$

$$a_{[-2]}u + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k-1)E_{2k}(\tau) \otimes a_{[2k-2]}u, \quad (\mu, \lambda) = (1, 1), \tag{4.2}$$

$$u, \quad (\mu', \lambda') \neq (1, 1), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(\mu, \lambda, \tau) \otimes a_{[k-1]}u, \quad (\mu, \lambda) \neq (1, 1). \tag{4.4}$$

We define the space of 1-point functions $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ to be the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space spanned by functions

$$S : U(g, h) \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that

(C1) $S(u, \tau)$ is holomorphic in $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and for every $u \in U(g, h)$;

(C2) $S(u, \tau)$ is $M(g, h)$ -linear in the sense that S is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear in u and satisfies

$$S(f \otimes u, \tau) = f(\tau)S(u, \tau) \quad (4.5)$$

for $f \in M(g, h)$ and $u \in U$;

(C3) $S(u, \tau) = 0$ if $u \in O(g, h)$;

(C4) For $u \in U^A = \{v \in U \mid \phi(k)v = v \text{ for } k \in A\}$,

$$S(L[-2]u, \tau) = \partial S(u, \tau) + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} E_{2l}(\tau)S(L[2l-2]u, \tau), \quad (4.6)$$

where ∂S is the operator which is linear in u and satisfies

$$\partial S(u, \tau) = \partial_k S(u, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} S(u, \tau) + kE_2(\tau)S(u, \tau) \quad (4.7)$$

for $u \in U_{[k]}$.

Let $f(\tau)$ be a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{H}$. We define the weight k action of $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$(f|_k \gamma)(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma\tau). \quad (4.8)$$

We also set $(g, h)\gamma := (g^a h^c, g^b h^d)$.

The following theorem enables us to prove modular-invariance of trace functions.

Theorem 4.1. ([DLM2] Theorem 5.4, Modular Invariance) For $S \in \mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, define

$$S|\gamma(u, \tau) := S|_k \gamma(u, \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-k} S(u, \gamma\tau) \quad (4.9)$$

for $u \in U_{[k]}$ and extend linearly to U . Then $S|\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h)\gamma)$.

In the rest of this subsection we assume that U satisfies the following finiteness condition which is weaker than the C_2 -cofiniteness.

Definition 4.2. Set $C_{[2,0]}^A(U) := \langle a_{[-2]}u, b_{[0]}u \mid a \in V, b \in V^A, u \in U \rangle$, where $V^A = \{x \in V \mid kx = x \text{ for all } k \in A\}$. Then U is said to be $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite if $\dim(U/C_{[2,0]}^A(U)) < \infty$.

Remark 4.1. The $C_{[2,0]}^1 = C_{[2,0]}$ -cofinite condition was introduced by Miyamoto in [M3]. It is clear from definitions that C_2 -cofiniteness implies $C_{[2,0]}$ -cofiniteness.

Lemma 5.2 of [DLM2] together with suitable modification leads the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose U is $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite. Then $U(g, h)/O(g, h)$ is a finitely generated $M(g, h)$ -module.*

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose U is $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite. Then for every $u \in U$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_i(\tau) \in M(g, h)$, $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, such that*

$$L[-2]^m u + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i(\tau) \otimes L[-2]^i u \in O(g, h). \quad (4.10)$$

Proof: Since $U(g, h)/O(g, h)$ is a finitely generated $M(g, h)$ -module and $M(g, h)$ is Noetherian, the submodule generated by $\{L[-2]^i u \mid i \geq 0\}$ is also a finitely generated submodule in $U(g, h)/O(g, h)$. So the lemma follows. $\blacksquare$

We fix an element $S \in \mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose U is $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite. Then for every $u \in U$ there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_i(\tau) \in M(g, h)$ such that*

$$S(L[-2]^m u, \tau) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i(\tau) S(L[-2]^i u, \tau) = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof: Combine Lemma 4.2 together with (C2) and (C3). $\blacksquare$

Now $S \in \mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ has the same properties as that in [DLM2]. So we can apply exactly the same arguments as those in [DLM2] (Lemma 6.3-Lemma 6.8 in [DLM2]) and hence we obtain the following facts: for some $p \geq 0$,

$$S(u, \tau) = \sum_{i=0}^p (\log q_{\frac{1}{|g|}})^i S_i(u, \tau), \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$S_i(u, \tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{b(i)} q^{\lambda_{ij}} S_{ij}(u, \tau), \quad (4.13)$$

$$S_{ij}(u, \tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{ijn}(u) q^{\frac{n}{|g|}} \quad (4.14)$$

are holomorphic on the upper half-plane, and

$$\lambda_{ij_1} \neq \lambda_{ij_2} \pmod{\frac{1}{|g|}\mathbb{Z}} \quad (4.15)$$

for $j_1 \neq j_2$.

Hence we arrive at the following theorem which differs from Theorem 6.5 in [DLM2] only by changing of C_2 -cofiniteness to $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofiniteness.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose U is $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite. For every $u \in U$, the function $S(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ can be expressed in the form (4.12)-(4.15). Moreover, p is bounded independently of u .*

4.2 h -conjugate intertwining operators

Let A , U and ϕ be as previous. By definition, the stabilizing automorphism ϕ gives a representation of $\langle g \rangle$ on U . Set $V^r := \{a \in V \mid ga = e^{2\pi ir/|g|}a\}$ and $U^r = \{u \in U \mid \phi(g)u = e^{2\pi ir/|g|}u\}$ for $0 \leq r \leq |g| - 1$. Then $U = U^0 \oplus U^1 \oplus \dots \oplus U^{|g|-1}$ and the vertex operators on U give the following fusion rules for V^0 -modules:

$$V^i \times U^j = U^{i+j}.$$

Namely, the conditions (2.3) and (2.4) are satisfied. Therefore, a stabilizing automorphism $\phi : A = \langle g, h \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$ defines a $\langle g \rangle$ -grading decomposition on U and hence we can think of $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators.

Let W_g^i , $i = 1, 2$, be irreducible g -twisted V -modules. Recall the h -conjugates $W_g^i \circ h$ of W_g^i defined by (2.1). By definition, there exist linear maps $\psi_i(h) : W_g^i \circ h \rightarrow W_g^i$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that

$$Y_{W_g^i}(ha, z) = \psi_i(h)Y_{W_g^i \circ h}(a, z)\psi_i(h)^{-1}. \quad (4.16)$$

Assume a $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g^1 \rightarrow W_g^2$ is given. We can define its h -conjugate intertwining operator $I^h(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g^1 \circ h \rightarrow W_g^2 \circ h$ as follows:

$$I^h(u, z) := \psi_2(h)^{-1}I(\phi(h)u, z)\psi_1(h). \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore, there exists a linear isomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_g^2 \\ U \quad W_g^1 \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} W_g^2 \circ h \\ U \quad W_g^1 \circ h \end{pmatrix}$$

given by an h -conjugation $I(\cdot, z) \mapsto I^h(\cdot, z)$.

4.3 Fundamental h -stable sectors

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{(W_g^\alpha, Y^\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be the set of all inequivalent irreducible g -twisted V -modules. Since we have assumed that V is g -rational, Λ is a finite set and every irreducible g -twisted V -module has a weight space decomposition such that all its homogeneous subspaces are of finite dimension (cf. [DLM1]). We consider a certain equivalent relation on $\mathcal{M}$. Let (W_g^α, Y^α) be an irreducible g -twisted V -module in $\mathcal{M}$. Then an h -conjugate $(W_g^\alpha, (Y^\alpha)^h)$ is also an irreducible g -twisted V -module and hence there exists a unique $\beta \in \Lambda$ such

that $(W_g^\alpha, (Y^\alpha)^h)$ is isomorphic to (W_g^β, Y^β) . Therefore, by defining $h(\alpha) = \beta$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ in this way, h defines a permutation on the index set Λ and hence on $\mathcal{M}$. We set $(W^\alpha, Y^\alpha) \circ h := (W^{h(\alpha)}, Y^{h(\alpha)})$. By definition, there exists unique linear isomorphisms ψ_α up to scalar multiplications such that the following commutative diagrams hold for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_g^{h(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{Y^{h(\alpha)}(a,z)} & W_g^{h(\alpha)} \\ \downarrow \psi_\alpha & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \psi_\alpha \\ W_g^\alpha & \xrightarrow{Y^\alpha(ha,z)} & W_g^\alpha. \end{array}$$

We define an equivalent relation on $\mathcal{M}$ as follows. Define $(W_g^\alpha, Y^\alpha) \sim (W_g^\beta, Y^\beta)$ if both (W_g^α, Y^α) and (W_g^β, Y^β) belong to the same orbit under the action of h , or equivalently there exists some $i \geq 0$ such that $(W_g^\alpha, Y^\alpha) \circ h^i \simeq (W_g^\beta, Y^\beta)$. Take an element (W_g^α, Y^α) in $\mathcal{M}$ and fix it. Assume its orbit $\{(W_g^\alpha, Y^\alpha) \circ h^i\}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) is of length n . For simplicity we denote $(W_g^\alpha, Y^\alpha) \circ h^i$ by (W_g^i, Y^i) . Then we have linear isomorphisms $\psi_i(h) : W_g \circ h^{i+1} \rightarrow W_g \circ h^i$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ ($\in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$), such that

$$Y^i(ha, z) = \psi_i(h) Y^{i+1}(a, z) \psi_i(h)^{-1}. \quad (4.18)$$

Set $\bar{W}_g := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} W_g^i$ and $\bar{\psi}(h) := \psi_0(h) \oplus \dots \oplus \psi_{n-1}(h)$. Then $\bar{W}_g$ with an automorphism $\bar{\psi}(h)$ is the minimal h -stable g -twisted V -module in the sense that it contains W_g^i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, as submodules with multiplicity one. In this paper, an h -stable g -twisted V -module $\bar{W}_g$ constructed as above is of special importance so that we call the modules constructed as above *fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -modules*.

4.4 Trace functions

Let M_g be a fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -module with an h -stabilizing automorphism $\psi(h)$. Because V is g -rational, there exists an irreducible g -twisted V -submodule W_g such that $M_g = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} W_g \circ h^i$, where n is the minimum positive integer such that $W_g \circ h^n$ is isomorphic to W_g . Let $I(\cdot, z)$ be a $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator of type $U \times M_g \rightarrow M_g$. Since M_g is h -stable, an h -conjugate intertwining operator $I^h(\cdot, z) = \psi(h)^{-1} I(\phi(h) \cdot, z) \psi(h)$ is also of type $U \times M_g \rightarrow M_g$. Hence, h acts on the space $\binom{M_g}{U M_g}$. Since we need a nice relation between $\phi(h)$ and $\psi(h)$, we adopt the following definition.

Definition 4.3. A $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ of type $\binom{M_g}{U M_g}$ is called *h -stable* if $\psi_h I(u, z) \psi_h^{-1} = I(\phi(h)u, z)$ holds for any $u \in U$.

The condition that $I(\cdot, z)$ to be h -stable is equivalent to that $I(\cdot, z)$ is stable under the action of h on $\begin{pmatrix} M_g \\ U \end{pmatrix}$. This is why we call “stable”. Assume that $I(\cdot, z)$ is h -stable. The main subject we study in the rest of this paper is the trace functions defined as follows:

$$S^I(u, q) := z^{\text{wt}u} \text{tr}_{|M_g} I(u, z) \psi(h)^{-1} q^{L(0)-c/24}. \quad (4.19)$$

We claim that the trace function (4.19) associated to an h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$. We may present a rigorous proof here, but it will be a repetition of some complicated formal calculations which are the same as those in [Z], [DLM2] and [M3] so that we omit details. For details, please refer to Section 8 of [DLM2] and Section 3 of [M3]. Here is the consequence.

Theorem 4.3. *Let U be an A -stable untwisted V -module with an A -stabilizing automorphism ϕ , and assume that U is $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite. Let M_g be a fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -module with an h -stabilizing automorphism $\psi(h)$ and let $I(\cdot, z)$ be an h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator of type $U \times M_g \rightarrow M_g$. Then the trace function*

$$S^I(u, q) := z^{\text{wt}u} \text{tr}_{|M_g} I(u, z) \psi(h)^{-1} q^{L(0)-c/24}, \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

gives an element of $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$.

By definition, a fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -module M_g is a direct sum of irreducible modules. So an h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times M_g \rightarrow M_g$ is also a direct sum of intertwining operators among irreducible components. Let W_g be an irreducible submodule of M_g . It is clear that on W_g , only an intertwining operator of type $U \times W_g \circ h \rightarrow W_g$ contributes to the trace function (4.19). So we may assume that $I(\cdot, z)$ is a direct sum of intertwining operators of type $U \times W_g \circ h^{i+1} \rightarrow W_g \circ h^i$ with $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We call such an h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operator *fundamental*.

5 Modular invariance

In the previous section we showed that the trace function $S^I(\cdot, \tau)$ associated to an h -stable intertwining operator $I(\cdot, z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$. We will prove here that we can choose a basis of the linear space $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ consisting of trace functions $S^I(\cdot, \tau)$. Namely, we shall show that the linear space spanned by $S^I(\cdot, \tau)$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$, and at the same time we prove a new kind of modular invariance because the space $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ is invariant under the action of the subgroup $\Gamma(g, h)$ of the modular group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by Theorem 4.1.

First, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. ([DLM2] Lemma 9.2) Suppose $a \in V$ satisfies $ga = \mu a$, $ha = \lambda a$ with $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the following hold:

(i) Seen as an element of $U[[q_\tau]]$, the constant term of $a_{[-1]}u - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{2k}(\tau) a_{[2k-1]}u$ is equal to $a *_g u - \frac{1}{2}a_{[0]}u$ and the constant term of $a_{[-2]}u + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k-1)E_{2k}(\tau)a_{[2k-2]}u$ is equal to $\frac{1}{12}a_{[0]}u + a \circ_g u$ if $\mu = \lambda = 1$.

(ii) The constant term of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(\mu, \lambda, q)a_{[k-1]}u$ is equal to $-a \circ_g u$ if $\mu \neq 1$, and the constant term of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(\mu, \lambda, q)a_{[k-1]}u$ is equal to $-a *_g u + \frac{1}{1-\lambda}a_{[0]}u$ if $\mu = 1, \lambda \neq 1$.

Because $(L[-1]a) *_g u = a \circ_g u$ and $(L[-1]a)_{[0]} = 0$, by replacing a by $L[-1]a$ in the case where $\mu = 1$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, we note that $-a \circ_g u$ also appears in the constant term in that case. Thus, the lemma above insists that almost all generators of $O_g(U)$ appear in the constant terms of elements in $O(g, h)$.

Let us study the relation between $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ and our trace functions. Take an arbitrary function $S \in \mathcal{C}_1(g, h)$. By Theorem 4.2, we know that S is expressed as

$$S(u, \tau) = \sum_{i=0}^p (\log q_{\frac{1}{|g|}})^i S_i(u, \tau)$$

for a fixed p and all $u \in U$ with each S_i satisfying (4.13)-(4.15).

We define a new operation $\tilde{\omega} *_\tau$ on U by

$$\omega *_\tau u := L[-2]u - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{2k}(\tau) L[2k-2]u$$

for $u \in U$. For convenience, we also write

$$\tilde{\omega} *_\tau S(u, \tau) = S(\tilde{\omega} *_\tau u, \tau).$$

In the following, q_x means $e^{2\pi i x}$.

Lemma 5.2. We have

$$S_{ij}(a_{[0]}u, \tau) = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in V^A, u \in U, i, j, \quad (5.1)$$

$$S_{ij}(u_q, \tau) = 0 \quad \text{for any } u_q \in O(g, h), i, j, \quad (5.2)$$

$$S_{pj}(\tilde{\omega} *_\tau u, \tau) = \frac{1}{|g|} q_{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq_{\frac{1}{|g|}}} S_{pj}(u, \tau) \quad \text{for } u \in U, \quad (5.3)$$

$$S_i(\tilde{\omega} *_\tau u, \tau) = \frac{1}{|g|} \left\{ (i+1)S_{i+1}(u, \tau) + q_{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq_{\frac{1}{|g|}}} S_i(u, \tau) \right\} \quad \text{for } u \in U, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \left(\tilde{\omega} *_\tau - \frac{1}{|g|} q_{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq_{\frac{1}{|g|}}} \right)^N \cdot S_{ij}(u, \tau) = 0 \quad \text{for } N \gg 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof: Since $O(g, h) \in U[[q^{\frac{1}{|g|}}]]$, (5.1) and (5.2) are trivial. Note

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{|g|} q^{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq^{\frac{1}{|g|}}}$$

so that from (C4) we have

$$S(\tilde{\omega} *_{\tau} u, \tau) = \frac{1}{|g|} \sum_{i=0}^p \left\{ i \left(\log q^{\frac{1}{|g|}} \right)^{i-1} S_i(u, \tau) + \left(\log q^{\frac{1}{|g|}} \right)^i q^{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq^{\frac{1}{|g|}}} S_i(u, \tau) \right\}.$$

Therefore, we get (5.3) and (5.4). In particular, we find that

$$\left(\tilde{\omega} *_{\tau} - \frac{1}{|g|} q^{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq^{\frac{1}{|g|}}} \right) S_i(u, \tau) = \frac{1}{|g|} (i+1) S_{i+1}(u, \tau)$$

and hence (5.5) also holds. ■

We note that both $S_i(u, \tau)$ and the trace functions $S^I(u, \tau)$ are expressed as a linear combination of power series of the form $q^{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(u) q^{n/|g|}$. Moreover, both S_i and S^I satisfy the equations (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5). Therefore, we shall investigate the power series with such properties for a while.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume a formal power series $T(u, \tau) = q^{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(u) q^{n/|g|}$ satisfies the conditions (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5). Then the coefficient of the leading term α_0 satisfies the following properties for $a \in V$ and $u \in U$ such that $ga = a$, $\phi(g)u = u$, $ha = \xi a$, $\phi(h)u = \zeta u$ with $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$:*

$$(i) \quad \alpha_0(a *_{\tau} u) = \xi^{-1} \delta_{\xi, 1} \alpha_0(u *_{\tau} a), \quad (5.6)$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha_0(u') = 0 \quad \text{for } u' \in O_g(U) + \sum_{r \neq 0} U^r, \quad (5.7)$$

$$(iii) \quad \alpha_0((\omega *_{\tau} - c/24 - \lambda)^N v) = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in U. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof: First, we prove (ii). Recall that $O_g(U) + \sum_{r \neq 0} U^r$ is generated by $w \in U^r$, $r \neq 0$ and $b \circ_g v$ with $b \in V^r$, $v \in U^{|g|^{-r}}$, where $V^r = \{x \in V \mid gx = e^{2\pi i r/|g|} x\}$ and $U^s = \{y \in U \mid \phi(g)y = e^{2\pi i s/|g|} y\}$. Since w is contained in $O(g, h)$, we have $T(w, \tau) = 0$ and hence $\alpha_0(w) = 0$. If $r \neq 0$, then by Lemma 5.1 (ii) we get $\alpha_0(b \circ_g v) = 0$. Therefore we should show $\alpha_0(b \circ_g v) = 0$ for $b \in V^0$ and $v \in U^0$. If $hb = b$, then by Lemma 5.1 (i) we have $\alpha_0(b \circ_g v + \frac{1}{12} b_{[0]} v) = 0$. On the other hand, by the definition of $O(g, h)$, we have $\alpha_0(b_{[0]} v) = 0$ and hence $\alpha_0(b \circ_g v) = 0$. If $hb = \epsilon b$ with $\epsilon \neq 1$, then by Lemma 5.1 (ii) we obtain $\alpha_0(b \circ_g v) = 0$. Thus the assertion (ii) holds.

Let a , u , ξ and ζ be as stated. Then one can show the following equality.

$$a *_g u - u *_g a = a_{[0]} u. \quad (5.9)$$

Suppose $\xi = \zeta = 1$. In this case one has $\alpha_0(a_{[0]} u) = 0$ and so that $\alpha_0(a *_g u) = \alpha_0(u *_g a)$. If $\xi \neq 1$, then by Lemma 5.1 (ii) the constant term of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(1, \xi, q) a_{[k-1]} u$ is equal to $-a *_g u + \frac{1}{1-\xi} a_{[0]} u$ (note that in this case $a \notin V^A$ so we don't know if $T(a_{[0]} u, \tau) = 0$). Since $a \in V^0$ and T vanishes on $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(1, \xi, \tau) a_{[k-1]} u$ in this case, we can use the relation (5.9) to have

$$\alpha_0(a *_g u) = \frac{1}{1-\xi} (\alpha_0(a *_g u) - \alpha_0(u *_g a)).$$

Solving this equation yields (i).

Consider (iii). The constant term of $\tilde{\omega} *_\tau v$ is equal to $\tilde{\omega} *_g u - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}_{[0]} v$ by Lemma 5.1. Since $T(\tilde{\omega}_{[0]} v, \tau) = 0$, the leading term of

$$\left(\tilde{\omega} *_\tau - \frac{1}{|g|} q_{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq_{\frac{1}{|g|}}} \right) T(v, \tau)$$

is equal to $q^\lambda \alpha_0((\omega *_g - c/24 - \lambda)v)$. Since the operator $\tilde{\omega} *_\tau - \frac{1}{|g|} q_{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq_{\frac{1}{|g|}}}$ will not decrease the minimal degree of $T(v, \tau)$, the condition

$$\left(\tilde{\omega} *_\tau - \frac{1}{|g|} q_{\frac{1}{|g|}} \frac{d}{dq_{\frac{1}{|g|}}} \right)^N T(v, \tau) = 0$$

implies $\alpha_0((\omega *_\tau - c/24 - \lambda)^N v) = 0$. Thus (iii) holds. ■

By this lemma, the leading term α_0 of the function T with properties (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5) can be seen as a linear function on $A_g(U)$ with the following h -twisted symmetric property: for $a \in A_g(V)$ and $u \in A_g(U)$ such that $ha = \xi a$, $\phi(h)a = \zeta a$ with $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\alpha_0(a *_g u) = \xi^{-1} \delta_{\xi\zeta, 1} \alpha_0(u *_g a).$$

We shall give an explicit description of such a linear function α_0 .

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and let B be an A -bimodule. Let h be an automorphism of an algebra A of finite order. Assume that a representation $\phi : \langle h \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$ of a cyclic group $\langle h \rangle$ on B is given and it satisfies*

$$\phi(h)(a \cdot b) = ha \cdot \phi(h)b \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(h)(b \cdot a) = \phi(h)b \cdot ha \quad (5.10)$$

for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Let $\omega \in Z(A)$ such that $h\omega = \omega$. Let F be a linear function on B with the following properties:

(i) There exists a scalar $r \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N \gg 0$ such that

$$F((\omega - r)^N \cdot b) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

(ii) For $ha = \alpha a$, $\phi(h)b = \beta b$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$F(a \cdot b) = \alpha^{-1} \delta_{\alpha\beta, 1} F(b \cdot a). \quad (5.12)$$

Then F can be expressed as

$$F(b) = \sum_j C_j \text{tr}_{W^j} I_j(b) \psi_j(h)^{-1}, \quad (5.13)$$

where (W^j, π_j) , $\pi_j : A \rightarrow \text{End}(W^j)$, is an h -stable left A -module on which ω acts as a scalar r , $\psi_j(h)$ is a linear isomorphism on W^j such that

$$\pi_j(ha) = \psi_j(h) \pi_j(a) \psi_j(h)^{-1},$$

and I_j is an element of $\text{Hom}_A(B \otimes_A W^j, W^j)$ such that

$$\psi_j(h) \cdot I_j(b)w = I_j(\phi(h)b) \cdot \psi_j(h)w$$

for $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $w \in W^j$.

Proof: By the semisimplicity, A decomposes into a direct sum of simple two-sided ideals $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$. As an A -bimodule, B is also completely reducible. Furthermore, the following lemma shows that B decomposes into a direct sum of $\phi(h)$ -invariant A -subbimodules.

Lemma 5.4. *Under the assumption above, for every $\phi(h)$ -invariant A -subbimodule B' of B there exists a $\phi(h)$ -invariant A -subbimodule B'' such that $B = B' \oplus B''$.*

Proof: Since B is a semisimple A -bimodule, there exists an A -subbimodule B'' such that $B = B' \oplus B''$. We show that we can choose a $\phi(h)$ -invariant complement B'' . By the decomposition of B above, every element $b \in B$ can be written uniquely as $b = b_1 + b_2$ for some $b_1 \in B'$ and $b_2 \in B''$. Denote the projection map $b \mapsto b_2$ with respect to the decomposition above by σ . Clearly, σ is an A -homomorphism. Using this projection we define a linear transformation ρ on B by

$$\rho(b) = \frac{1}{|h|} \sum_{i=0}^{|h|-1} \phi(h)^{-i} \sigma(\phi(h)^i b).$$

Set $B''' = \rho B$. We claim that B''' is a $\phi(h)$ -invariant A -subbimodule and $B = B' \oplus B'''$. For the $\phi(h)$ -invariance, choose any $\rho(b) \in B'''$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(h)\rho(b) &= \phi(h) \cdot \frac{1}{|h|} \sum_{i=0}^{|h|-1} \phi(h)^{-i} \sigma(\phi(h)^i b) \\ &= \frac{1}{|h|} \sum_{i=0}^{|h|-1} \phi(h)^{-i+1} \sigma(\phi(h)^{i-1} \cdot \phi(h)b) \\ &= \rho(\phi(h)b).\end{aligned}$$

Thus B''' is $\phi(h)$ -invariant. Similarly we can show that the left invariance $a \cdot \rho(b) = \rho(a \cdot b)$ and the right invariance $\rho(b) \cdot a = \rho(b \cdot a)$ for all $a \in A$. Therefore, B''' is an A -subbimodule. Using $\rho^2 = \rho$, one can show that $B = B' \oplus B'''$. $\blacksquare$

By the preceding lemma, we may assume that B doesn't have a proper $\phi(h)$ -invariant A -subbimodule. Since B is a completely reducible A -bimodule, we can take an irreducible A -subbimodule B_1 of B . Clearly $\sum_{j=0}^{|h|-1} \phi(h)^j B_1$ is a non-trivial $\phi(h)$ -invariant A -subbimodule of B , so one must have $B = \sum_{j=0}^{|h|-1} \phi(h)^j B_1$. Take a non-negative integer t which is a maximal subject to the condition that $\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \phi(h)^j B_1 = \oplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \phi(h)^j B_1$. Then by induction we see that $\phi(h)^l B_1 \subset \oplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \phi(h)^j B_1$ for all $l \geq 0$ and hence $B = \oplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \phi(h)^j B_1$. Since B_1 is an irreducible A -bimodule, only one simple component of A gives a non-trivial left action on B_1 . Let us denote such a component by A_1 . The assumption (5.12) is equivalent to the fact that

$$F(a \cdot b) = F(b \cdot h^{-1}a) \text{ and } F(b) = 0 \text{ for } \phi(h)b = \lambda b, \lambda \neq 1. \quad (5.14)$$

Moreover, one can equivalently replace the condition above by

$$F(a \cdot b) = F(\phi(h)^{-1}b \cdot h^{-2}a). \quad (5.15)$$

Let 1_{A_1} be the unit element of the simple ring A_1 . For every $b_1 \in B_1$, the condition (5.14) implies that

$$F(b_1) = F(1_{A_1} \cdot b_1) = F(b_1 \cdot h^{-1}1_{A_1}) = F(b_1 \cdot 1_{h^{-1}A_1}).$$

So if B_1 is not a non-trivial right $h^{-1}A_1$ -module, then $F(B_1) = 0$, and by (5.15) we arrive at $F(B) = 0$. Therefore, we may assume that B_1 is a $(A_1, h^{-1}A_1)$ -bimodule. Since h permutes the simple components of A , each irreducible component $\phi(h)^j B_1$ of B is an irreducible $(h^j A_1, h^{j-1} A_1)$ -bimodule. So we may assume that h acts transitively on A as a permutation of its simple components. This means, we may assume that $A = A_1 \oplus hA_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus h^{s-1}A_1$ and h maps $h^{s-1}A_1$ onto A_1 . In particular, h^s induces

an automorphism on each simple component $h^i A_1$ of A for $0 \leq i < s$. Since $\phi(h)^j B_1$ is an irreducible $(h^j A_1, h^{j-1} A_1)$ -bimodule, we note that $s \leq t$. Furthermore, $\phi(h)B = B$ forces $\phi(h)^t B_1$ to be an irreducible $(A_1, h^{-1} A_1)$ -bimodule so that we obtain $s|t$. As $B = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t-1} \phi(h)^j B_1$, one can find that the number of isotypical components of B as an A -bimodule is s . Therefore, B decomposes into a direct sum of its isotypical components as

$$B = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{s-1} B^{(k)}, \quad \text{where } B^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{t/s-1} \phi(h)^{si+k} B_1.$$

Hence, $\phi(h)$ induces a cyclic permutation on the set of isotypical components as $\phi(h) : B^{(k)} \rightarrow B^{(k+1)}$ and thus $\phi(h)^s$ induces a linear transformation on each isotypical component of B .

By definition, $B^{(0)}$ is an $(A_1, h^{-1} A_1)$ -bimodule. We twist the right action of A on $B^{(0)}$ by h to obtain an A_1 -bimodule structure on $B^{(0)}$. Define a new right action of $a \in A$ by

$$b * a := b \cdot (h^{-1} a) \quad \text{for } b \in B^{(0)}.$$

Under the action above, $B^{(0)}$ becomes an A_1 -bimodule and $B^{(0)}$ decomposes into a direct sum of t/s irreducible A_1 -subbimodules as $B^{(0)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t/s-1} B_{0j}$. Since each irreducible component B_{0j} is isomorphic to A_1 as an A_1 -bimodule, there exist A_1 -isomorphisms $f_j : A_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{0j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq t/s - 1$. Using these f_j 's, we define linear functions $G_j : A_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$G_j : a \in A_1 \mapsto F(f_j(a_1)) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq t/s - 1.$$

Then for $a_1, a_2 \in A_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_j(a_1 a_2) &= F(f_j(a_1 a_2)) = F(a_1 \cdot f_j(a_2)) = F(f_j(a_2) \cdot h^{-1} a_1) \\ &= F(f_j(a_2) * a_1) = F(f_j(a_2 \cdot a_1)) = G_j(a_2 a_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the linear functions G_j are trace functions. Let W be an irreducible left A_1 -module. Then G_j can be written as $G_j(a) = C_j \operatorname{tr}_W(a)$ with some $C_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and the linear function F restricted on B_{0j} can be described as

$$F(b_j) = C_j \operatorname{tr}_W f_j^{-1}(b_j) \quad (C_j \in \mathbb{C}) \tag{5.16}$$

for $b \in B_{0j}$. To get a desired description of F , we have to extend W to be an A -module. Based on a left A_1 -module structure on W , we construct left $h^k A_1$ -modules $h^k \circ W$, $0 \leq k \leq s - 1$. As a vector space, we set $h^k \circ W = W$ and we denote the elements of $h^k \circ W$ formally by $h^k w$, where $w \in W$. We introduce an action of $h^k A_1$ on $h^k \circ W$ by $h^k a \cdot h^k w := h^k(a \cdot w)$. By this definition, $h^k \circ W$ becomes an irreducible left $h^k A_1$ -module. Set $\bar{W} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{s-1} h^k \circ W$. Then $\bar{W}$ naturally carries a left A -module structure.

Recall that $A = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{s-1} h^k A_1$ and h^s is an automorphism of each simple component of A . By Skolem-Noether theorem, an automorphism h^s of a simple ring A_1 is realizable by an inner automorphism of A_1 . That is, there exists an element $\gamma \in A_1^*$ such that $h^s a_1 = \gamma a_1 \gamma^{-1}$ for all $a_1 \in A_1$. Then the actions of h^s on $h^k A_1$, $0 \leq k \leq s-1$, are given by inner automorphisms defined by $h^k \gamma \in (h^k A_1)^*$. Furthermore, an element γ induces a linear isomorphism ψ of $\bar{W}$ by the following way. For $0 \leq k \leq s-2$, we set $\psi(h^k w) = h^{k+1} w$ and we define $\psi(h^{s-1} w) = h^0 \gamma w$ for $k = s-1$. It is easy to check that ψ is a linear isomorphism on $\bar{W}$. This automorphism is characterized by the following property:

$$\psi(a \cdot w) = ha \cdot \psi(w), \quad a \in A, \quad w \in \bar{W}.$$

This means that $\bar{W}$ is an h -stable A -module with an h -stabilizing automorphism $\bar{\psi}$.

Next we shall define a linear map $I_0 : B^{(0)} \times \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{W}$. For $b_j \in B_{0j}$ and $w \in \bar{W}$, we set

$$I_0 : (b_j, w) \mapsto C_j f_j^{-1}(b_j) \cdot \psi(w)$$

and extend bilinearly on $B^{(0)} \times \bar{W}$. By this definition, we can show that I_0 defines an element of $\text{Hom}_A(B^{(0)} \otimes_A \bar{W}, \bar{W})$. By (5.16), we note that

$$F(b) = \text{tr}_{\bar{W}} I_0(b) \psi^{-1}$$

for all $b \in B^{(0)}$. Up to now, we have determined an explicit description of F restricted on $B^{(0)}$. By (5.15), a description of F on $B^{(0)}$ completely determines that on B . Set

$$\tilde{I}_0(b) := \frac{s}{|h|} \sum_{i=0}^{|h|/s-1} \psi^{-is} I_0(\phi(h)^{is} b) \psi^{is}.$$

Since $\phi(h)^s$ is an automorphism on $B^{(0)}$ and $I_0 \in \text{Hom}_A(B \otimes_A \bar{W}, \bar{W})$, using (5.10) we can show that $I'_0(b) = \psi^{-s} I_0(\phi(h)^s b) \psi^s$ is also an element of $\text{Hom}_A(B^{(0)} \otimes_A \bar{W}, \bar{W})$. Furthermore, it follows from (5.15) that $F(\phi(h)^s b) = F(b)$, and thus $\text{tr}_{\bar{W}} I'_0(b) \psi^{-1} = \text{tr}_{\bar{W}} I_0(b) \psi^{-1} = F(b)$ for all $b \in B^{(0)}$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{tr}_{\bar{W}} \tilde{I}_0(b) \psi^{-1} = \text{tr}_{\bar{W}} I_0(b) \psi^{-1} = F(b)$$

for all $b \in B^{(0)}$. It is clear that $\psi^{-s} \tilde{I}_0(\phi(h)^s b) \psi^s = \tilde{I}_0(b)$. Hence, by replacing I_0 by $\tilde{I}_0$, we may assume

$$\psi^{-s} I_0(\phi(h)^s b) \psi^s = I_0(b). \quad (5.17)$$

As we did before, by twisting the right action by h^k , we can introduce an $h^k A_1$ -bimodule structure on each isotypical component $B^{(k)}$, $0 \leq k \leq t/s - 1$. Since $B^{(k)} =$

$\phi(h)^k B^{(0)}, B^{(k)}$ decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible $h^k A_1$ -bimodules as

$$B^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{t/s-1} \phi(h)^k B_{0j}. \quad (5.18)$$

On this decomposition, we define $I_k : B^{(k)} \times \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{W}$ as follows. For $\phi(h)^k b_j$, $0 \leq k \leq s-1$, $b_j \in B_{0j}$ and $w \in \bar{W}$, we set

$$I_k(\phi(h)^k b_j) w := \psi^k I_0(b_j) \psi^{-k} w$$

and extend linearly on $B^{(k)}$. It follows from the definition that $I_k \in \text{Hom}_A(B^{(k)} \otimes_A \bar{W}, \bar{W})$. Furthermore, by (5.15), we see that $F(b) = \text{tr}_{\bar{W}} I_k(b) \psi^{-1}$ for all $b \in B^{(k)}$. By extending the defining region of I_k on the whole of B naturally and setting $\bar{I} := I_0 + I_1 + \cdots + I_{s-1}$, we see that $\bar{I} \in \text{Hom}_A(B \otimes_A \bar{W}, \bar{W})$ and $F(b) = \text{tr}_{\bar{W}} \bar{I}(b) \psi^{-1}$ for all $b \in B$. Moreover, by (5.17), $\psi \cdot \bar{I}(b) w = \bar{I}(\phi(h)b) \cdot \psi(w)$ for all $b \in B$ and $w \in \bar{W}$. Therefore $\bar{I}$ and ψ satisfy all conditions we required. Finally, since $\omega \in Z(A)$, it is clear that ω acts on $\bar{W}$ as a scalar, which should be r by the assumption. $\blacksquare$

Now we are ready to apply the preceding proposition to α_0 by setting $A = A_g(V)$, $B = A_g(U)$ and $F = \alpha_0$. By the previous proposition and Theorem 3.3, we can construct intertwining operators $I_k(\cdot, z)$ among fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -modules such that the traces of the zero mode on the top modules are given by α_0 . Furthermore, the difference between the actions of h -stabilizing automorphisms on the top levels of h -stable V -modules and those of h -stabilizing automorphisms ψ given in the previous proposition are only scalar multiples. Hence, an h -stabilizing automorphism ψ on a top level gives rise to an h -stabilizing automorphism on V -module. Thus we can obtain fundamental h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators $I_k(\cdot, z)$ such that α_0 is a linear combination of the leading terms of $S^{I_k}(\cdot, \tau)$. Therefore, we have the following statement.

Proposition 5.2. *Let α_0 be the coefficient of leading term of the power series $T(u, \tau) = q^\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(u) q^{n/|g|}$ which satisfies (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5). Then there exist fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -modules $(W_g^k, \psi_k(h))$ with minimum $L(0)$ -weights $\lambda + c/24$ and fundamental h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators $I_k(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g^k \rightarrow W_g^k$ such that*

$$\alpha_0(u) = \sum_k C_k \text{tr}_{|W_g^k} o^{I_k}(u) \psi_k(h)^{-1}.$$

Thus we have

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose U is $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite. Assume a formal power series $T(u, \tau) = q^\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(u) q^{n/|g|}$ is given and satisfies (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5). Then there exist fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -modules $(W_g^k, \psi_k(h))$ and h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining*

operators $I_k(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g^k \rightarrow W_g^k$ such that $T(u, \tau)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the trace functions $S^{I_k}(u, \tau)$.

Proof: By Proposition 5.1, there exist h -stable $A_g(V)$ -modules $(W_g^k(0), \psi_k(h))$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, on which ω acts as a scalar $\lambda + c/24$ and linear maps

$$I_k \in \text{Hom}_{A_g(V)}(A_g(U) \otimes_{A_g(V)} W_g^k(0) \circ h, W_g^k(0)), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m$$

such that

$$\alpha_0(u) = \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \text{tr}_{|_{W_g^j(0)}} I_j(u) \psi_j(h)^{-1}.$$

By Theorem 3.3, there exist fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -modules $(W_g^k, \psi_k(h))$ and fundamental h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators $\bar{I}_k(\cdot, z)$ of type $U \times W_g^k \rightarrow W_g^k$ such that all the minimum $L(0)$ -weights of W_g^k are equal to $\lambda + c/24$ and whose top levels are isomorphic to $W_g^j(0)$ as $A_g(V)$ -modules for $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then the function $T(u, \tau) - \sum_j S^{I_j}(u, \tau)$ also satisfies the conditions (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5) and the degree of its leading term is strictly greater than λ . If $T(u, \tau) - \sum_j S^{I_j}(u, \tau)$ is not equal to 0, then we can repeat the same argument on it. But by the rationality of V , there exist only finitely many inequivalent irreducible V -modules. So our procedure must stop in finite steps. Hence, $T(u)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $S^{I_j}(u)$'s as desired. ■

Proposition 5.4. $S_i(\cdot, \tau) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Proof: Assume $p \geq 1$. By Proposition 5.3, $S_{p-1}(\cdot, \tau)$ can be written as a linear combination of the trace functions. Since the trace functions satisfy the condition

$$S^I(\tilde{\omega} *_{\tau} u, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} S^I(u, \tau),$$

we have

$$S_{p-1}(\tilde{\omega} *_{\tau} u, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} S_{p-1}(u, \tau).$$

On the other hand, by (5.4), we have

$$S_{p-1}(\tilde{\omega} *_{\tau} u, \tau) = p S_p(u, \tau) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} S_{p-1}(u, \tau).$$

Therefore we get $p S_p(u, \tau) = 0$, a contradiction. ■

Summarizing up to everything, we arrive at the following main theorem. This is a new extension of Zhu theory which generalize both the orbifold case in [DLM2] and the intertwining operators case in [M3].

Theorem 5.1. *Let V be a g -rational VOA and U an $C_{[2,0]}^A$ -cofinite A -stable untwisted V -module with a stabilizing automorphism ϕ . Let $\{(W_g^1, \psi_1(h)), \dots, (W_g^m, \psi_m(h))\}$ be the set of all inequivalent fundamental h -stable g -twisted V -modules and let $\{I_{kj}(\cdot, z) \mid j = 1, \dots, r_k\}$ be a basis of fundamental h -stable $\phi(g)$ -twisted intertwining operators of type $U \times W_g^k \rightarrow W_g^k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then the space of 1-point functions $\mathcal{C}_1(U; \phi; (g, h))$ is spanned by the trace functions*

$$S^{I_{kj}}(u, \tau) = \text{tr}_{W_g^k} z^{\text{wt} u} I_{kj}(u, z) \psi_k(h)^{-1} q^{L(0) - c/24}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r_k, 1 \leq k \leq m$. In particular, the linear space spanned by the trace functions

$$\left\langle S^{I_{kj}}(\cdot, \tau) \mid 1 \leq j \leq r_k, 1 \leq k \leq m \right\rangle$$

is invariant under the modular group $\Gamma(g, h)$, where its action is defined by (4.9).

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Professor Masahiko Miyamoto for his insightful advice. He also thanks to Professor Ching Hung Lam for reading this manuscript and his helpful comments.

References

- [Ab] T. Abe, Fusion rules for the free bosonic orbifold vertex operator algebra, J. Algebra 229, 333-374 (2000)
- [AM] G. Anderson and G. Moore, Rationality in conformal field theory, Commu. Math. Phys. 117 (1988), 441-450.
- [Bo] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex operator algebras, Kac-Moody algebras and the Monster, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 83 (1986), 3026
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, Math. Ann. 310 (1998) 571-600.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory, Commu. Math. Phys. 214, 1-56.
- [DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Simple currents and extensions of vertex operator algebras, Commu. Math. Phys. 180, 671-707.
- [DM] C. Dong, G. Mason, On Quantum Galois Theory, Duke Math. J. vol.86, No.2 (1997) 305-321.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 104, 1993

- [FLM] I.B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [FZ] I.B. Frenkel, Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representation of affine and Virasoro algebras, Duke Mathematical Journal 66 (1992), 123-168.
- [La] S. Lang, Elliptic Functions, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [Li1] H. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex operator superalgebras and twisted modules, Cont. Math. 193 (1996) 203-236
- [Li2] H. Li, Determining fusion rules by $A(V)$ -modules and bimodules, J. Algebra 212, 515-556.
- [Li3] H. Li, An analogue of the Hom functor and a generalized nuclear democracy theorem, Duke Math. J. 93 (1998), 73-114.
- [M1] M. Miyamoto, A modular invariance on the theta functions defined on vertex operator algebras, Duke Math. J. Vol. 101 (2000), 221-236.
- [M2] M. Miyamoto, Modular invariance of trace functions on VOA in many variables, preprint.
- [M3] M. Miyamoto, Intertwining operators and modular invariance, q-alg/0010180.
- [X] X. Xu, Intertwining operators for twisted modules of a colored vertex operator superalgebra, J. Algebra 175, 241-273.
- [Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 237-302.